

## Groups, Fields, and Vector Spaces

Homework #3 (2008) for pages 9-16 of notes-answers

*Q1: Coordinate-dependent isomorphisms of vector spaces.*

*Given:*

*Vector space  $V$  (with elements  $v, \dots$ ) and a basis set  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M\}$*

*Vector space  $W$  (with elements  $w, \dots$ ) and a basis set  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N\}$*

*We'll construct two vector spaces of dimension  $M \times N$ ,  $V \otimes W$  and  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ . We will then see what happens to the coordinates in these vector spaces when we change basis sets in  $V$  and  $W$  to new basis sets,  $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_M\}$  for  $V$  and  $\{f'_1, f'_2, \dots, f'_N\}$  for  $W$ . The new and old basis sets are*

*related by  $e_i = \sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} e'_k$  and  $f_j = \sum_{l=1}^N B_{jl} f'_l$ .*

*A. As discussed in class (notes pg 16), the vector space  $V \otimes W$  has a basis set*

*$\{e_1 \otimes f_1, e_1 \otimes f_2, \dots, e_2 \otimes f_1, \dots, e_M \otimes f_N\}$ , i.e., any element  $z$  of  $V \otimes W$  can be written in coordinates*

*as  $z = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} z_{ij} (e_i \otimes f_j)$ , for some  $M \times N$  array of scalars  $z_{ij}$ .*

*The exercise is to express  $z = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} z_{ij} (e_i \otimes f_j)$  in terms of the new basis set for  $V \otimes W$ , namely as a*

*sum  $z = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} z'_{kl} (e'_k \otimes f'_l)$ . That is, find  $z'_{kl}$  in terms of  $z_{ij}$ .*

From  $e_i = \sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} e'_k$ ,  $f_j = \sum_{l=1}^N B_{jl} f'_l$ , and the linearity of the tensor product, we find

$e_i \otimes f_j = \left( \sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} e'_k \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{l=1}^N B_{jl} f'_l \right) = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} A_{ik} B_{jl} (e'_k \otimes f'_l)$ . So,  $z = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} z_{ij} (e_i \otimes f_j)$  implies that

$z = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} z_{ij} \left( \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} A_{ik} B_{jl} (e'_k \otimes f'_l) \right) = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} z_{ij} A_{ik} B_{jl} (e'_k \otimes f'_l)$ . Thus,  $z'_{kl}$ , which is the coefficient of

$e'_k \otimes f'_l$  in  $z$ , is

$$z'_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ik} B_{jl} z_{ij} .$$

*B. As discussed in class (notes pg 14), the vector space  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  has a basis set*

*$\{\psi_{11}, \psi_{12}, \dots, \psi_{MN}\}$  where  $\psi_{ij}$  is the homomorphism for which  $\psi_{ij}(e_i) = f_j$  and  $\psi_{ij}(e_u) = 0$  for  $u \neq i$ .*

*With the new basis sets for  $V$  and  $W$ ,  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  has a basis set  $\{\psi'_{11}, \psi'_{12}, \dots, \psi'_{MN}\}$ , with  $\psi'_{ij}(e'_i) = f'_j$ , and  $\psi'_{ij}(e'_u) = 0$  for  $u \neq i$ . In the original basis set, any  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  can be written as*

$\varphi = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} \varphi_{ij} \psi_{ij}$ , for some  $M \times N$  array of scalars  $\varphi_{ij}$ . The exercise is to express  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{M, N} \varphi_{ij} \psi_{ij}$  in

terms of the new basis set, namely as a sum  $\varphi = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} \varphi'_{kl} \psi'_{kl}$ . That is, find  $\varphi'_{kl}$  in terms of  $\varphi_{ij}$ .

From  $\varphi = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} \varphi'_{kl} \psi'_{kl}$  and  $e_i = \sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} e'_k$ , we find

$$\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} \varphi'_{kl} \psi'_{kl} \left( \sum_{u=1}^M A_{iu} e'_u \right) = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} \sum_{u=1}^M A_{iu} \varphi'_{kl} \psi'_{kl}(e'_u) = \sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} A_{ik} \varphi'_{kl} f'_l.$$

where the final equality uses  $\psi'_{kl}(e'_k) = f'_l$  and  $\psi'_{kl}(e'_u) = 0$  for  $u \neq k$ .

Now we need to make use of  $f_j = \sum_{l=1}^N B_{jl} f'_l$ . In the original basis set,  $\varphi(e_i) = \varphi_{ij} f_j$ . So

$$\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_{ij} f_j = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N B_{jl} \varphi_{ij} f'_l.$$

Putting together the two equations for  $\varphi(e_i)$  yields  $\sum_{k=1, l=1}^{M, N} A_{ik} \varphi'_{kl} f'_l = \sum_{l=1}^N \varphi_{ij} B_{jl} f'_l$ , or,

$$\sum_{l=1}^N f'_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} \varphi'_{kl} - \sum_{j=1}^N B_{jl} \varphi_{ij} \right) = 0.$$

Because the  $f'_l$  are a basis for  $W$ , they are linearly independent. Therefore the only way that the above equation can be satisfied is if each coefficient of  $f'_l$  is zero. That is,

$\sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} \varphi'_{kl} = \sum_{j=1}^N B_{jl} \varphi_{ij}$ , for all  $l$ . Note that this is a system of linear equations in the  $\varphi'_{kl}$ . We can solve

it if we know the inverse of the matrix  $A$ , namely, the quantities  $A_{si}^{-1}$  for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^M A_{si}^{-1} A_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & s = k \\ 0, & s \neq k \end{cases}. \text{ (Convince yourself that the existence of } A^{-1} \text{ is guaranteed if both the } e_i$$

and the  $e'_i$  are a basis.)

Finally, from  $\sum_{k=1}^M A_{ik} \varphi'_{kl} = \sum_{j=1}^N B_{jl} \varphi_{ij}$ , we calculate

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^M A_{si}^{-1} A_{ik} \varphi'_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N A_{si}^{-1} B_{jl} \varphi_{ij} \text{ and apply } \sum_{i=1}^M A_{si}^{-1} A_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & s = k \\ 0, & s \neq k \end{cases} \text{ to find}$$

$$\varphi'_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ki}^{-1} B_{jl} \varphi_{ij}.$$

The “big-picture” point (compare the circled equations) is that for  $Hom(V, W)$ ,  $A^{-1}$  is applied to the  $V$ -component of the basis, while for  $V \otimes W$ ,  $A$  is applied to the  $V$ -component of the basis. So, a change of basis affects  $Hom(V, W)$  and  $V \otimes W$  differently.

There are two interesting special cases.

First, take  $W = k$ , so  $Hom(V, W) = V^*$  and  $V \otimes W = V$  (convince yourself of this!). This exercise thus shows that  $V^*$  and  $V$  transform differently.

Second, take  $W = V$ ,  $f = e$ , and  $B = A$  (so, also,  $f' = e'$ ) The exercise shows how  $Hom(V, V)$  changes when coordinates of  $V$  are changed, namely,  $\varphi'_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^M A_{ki}^{-1} \varphi_{ij} A_{jl}$ . Or, as standard matrices,  $\varphi' = A^{-1} \varphi A$ .

*Q2: Coordinate-independent (natural) isomorphisms of vector spaces.*

*A. The dual of the dual. Consider  $V^{**} = Hom(V^*, k) = Hom(Hom(V, k), k)$ . That is,  $V^{**}$  contains elements  $\Phi$  that are linear mappings from  $V^*$  to  $k$ . In other words, for two elements  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  of  $V^*$ ,  $\Phi(a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2) = a\Phi(\varphi_1) + b\Phi(\varphi_2)$ , where addition here is interpreted in  $V^*$ .*

*Construct a homomorphism  $M$  from  $V$  to  $V^{**}$ . That is, for any element  $w$  in  $V$ , construct an element  $\Phi_w = M(w)$  in  $V^{**}$ . To do this, you will have to*

*(i) come up with a rule for how  $\Phi_w$  acts on elements  $\varphi$  of  $V^*$ ,*

*(ii) show that  $\Phi_w$  is linear on  $V^*$ , namely, that  $\Phi_w(a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2) = a\Phi_w(\varphi_1) + b\Phi_w(\varphi_2)$ ,*

*(iii) show that the map  $M$  from  $w$  to  $\Phi_w$  is linear on  $V$ , namely, that*

*$M(qw_1 + rw_2) = qM(w_1) + rM(w_2)$ . (Addition on the left is interpreted in  $V$ ; addition on the right is interpreted in  $V^{**}$ . Equivalently,  $\Phi_{qw_1 + rw_2} = q\Phi_{w_1} + r\Phi_{w_2}$ .*

*(i) Define  $\Phi_w(\varphi) = \varphi(w)$ . The right-hand side exploits the fact that since  $\varphi$  is in  $V^*$ , it is a linear map on elements of  $V$ .*

*(ii) As follows:*

*$\Phi_w(a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2) = (a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2)(w)$  (because of how  $\Phi_w$  is defined, right-hand-side ops are in  $V^*$ )*

*$(a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2)(w) = a\varphi_1(w) + b\varphi_2(w)$  (because of how addition and scalar multiplication are defined in  $V^*$ )*

*$a\varphi_1(w) + b\varphi_2(w) = a\Phi_w(\varphi_1) + b\Phi_w(\varphi_2)$  (because of how  $\Phi_w$  is defined; right-hand-side ops are in  $k$ )*

*(iii) To show  $\Phi_{qw_1 + rw_2} = q\Phi_{w_1} + r\Phi_{w_2}$ , which is a statement about  $V^{**}$ , we must show that for all  $\varphi$  is in  $V^*$ , that  $\Phi_{qw_1 + rw_2}(\varphi) = q\Phi_{w_1}(\varphi) + r\Phi_{w_2}(\varphi)$ .*

*$\Phi_{qw_1 + rw_2}(\varphi) = \varphi(qw_1 + rw_2) = q\varphi(w_1) + r\varphi(w_2) = q\Phi_{w_1}(\varphi) + r\Phi_{w_2}(\varphi)$ .*

*In the above, first and third equalities are the definition of  $\Phi_w$ ; second equality is because  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.*

*Comment. This means that every element of  $V$  can be regarded as an element of  $V^{**}$ , and this correspondence does not depend on coordinates.*

*B. Dual homomorphisms. Consider elements  $\Psi$  in  $Hom(V, W)$ . Construct a homomorphism  $M$  from  $Hom(V, W)$  to  $Hom(W^*, V^*)$ . That is, given a homomorphism  $\Psi$  from  $V$  to  $W$ , construct a homomorphism  $\Psi^* = M(\Psi)$  from  $W^*$  to  $V^*$ .*

Say  $\Psi$  is in  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ . Say  $\xi$  is in  $W^*$  (so  $\xi(w)$  is an element of  $k$ ).  $\Psi^*$  has to map  $\xi$  to an element of  $V^*$ , i.e.,  $\Psi^*(\xi)$  needs to be defined by how it maps vectors  $v$  of  $V$  to field elements. We therefore define  $(\Psi^*(\xi))(v) = \xi(\Psi(v))$ . (Note that since  $\Psi$  is in  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ , then  $\Psi(v)$  is an element of  $W$ , so  $\xi$  can act on it to yield a field element.). Properties (ii) and (iii) are straightforward, and shown in a manner analogous to part A.

Comment. Iterating this argument, one can construct  $\Psi^{**} = (\Psi^*)^*$ , which is a homomorphism from  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  to  $\text{Hom}(V^{**}, W^{**})$ . In Part A, we saw that every element of  $V$  can be regarded as an element of  $V^{**}$  (and similarly for  $W$ ). Given this identification, one can readily show that  $\Psi^{**} = \Psi$ .

*C. Find a coordinate-free correspondence between  $(V \otimes W)^*$  and  $\text{Hom}(V, W^*)$ .*

Say  $B$  is an element of  $(V \otimes W)^*$ . This means that  $B(v \otimes w)$  is an element of the field  $k$ , and this expression is linear in  $v$  and  $w$ .

We need to find an element  $U_B$  of  $\text{Hom}(V, W^*)$  that we can naturally associate with  $B$ . That is,  $U_B$  must be a linear map from vectors  $v$  to elements in the dual of  $W$ . To define  $U_B(v)$  in the dual of  $W$ , we must define how it carries out a linear map from elements  $w$  in  $W$  to the field  $k$ . So we take  $(U_B(v))(w) = B(v \otimes w)$ .

*D. Find a coordinate free-correspondence between  $V \otimes W$  and  $\text{Hom}(V^*, W)$ .*

Say  $v \otimes w$  is in  $V \otimes W$ . We need to find a linear map from  $v \otimes w$  to an element  $\Phi = Z(v \otimes w)$  in  $\text{Hom}(V^*, W)$ . To define  $\Phi$ , we need to show how it maps any  $\varphi$  in  $V^*$  to elements of  $W$ . We therefore define  $\Phi = Z(v \otimes w)$  as  $(Z(v \otimes w))(\varphi) = \varphi(v)w$ , which makes use of the fact that since  $\varphi$  is in  $V^*$ , it maps vectors  $v$  to scalars.