

Introduction

Natural scenes have complex statistical structure. To disentangle how their components contribute to the visual response, we developed a library of artificial visual textures that allows for independent manipulation of many kinds of local image statistics. Psychophysical studies show that sensitivity to these image statistics is selective and highly stereotyped across observers (Victor & Conte 1991, poster: 799.06/KK19).

Here, we ask whether this pattern of sensitivity has a neural correlate in primary visual cortex. Part 1 examines neuronal sensitivity to third- and fourth-order statistics defined on several different spatial configurations. Part 2 focuses on image statistics defined on a 2x2 configuration, including first-, second-, third, and fourth-order statistics.

Methods

Preparation: Single-unit recordings using multitetrode arrays were made in V1 and V2 of macaques, anesthetized with propofol and sufentanil and paralyzed with vecuronium or rocuronium.

Visual stimuli: To measure sensitivity to image statistics, a sequence of binary checkerboard patterns, consisting of random arrays interleaved with arrays in specific correlations were introduced, were presented for 320 ms each. Check size was scaled to the receptive field size and orientation was set according to the orientation preference of a target neuron.

Spike sorting: After bandpass filtering (300 to 9000 Hz) and thresholding, waveforms were clustered using custom versions of KlustaKwik and Klusters (Hazan et al 2006). Features consisted of peak amplitudes and principal components.

Analysis: Local linear regression (*locfit*, Loader, 1999) was used to calculate smooth firing rate functions elicited by stimuli with each kind of image statistic. Significance of the difference between two firing rate functions was determined by comparing the actual difference with the distribution of differences computed from 3000 shuffles. (Only shuffles between responses collected at nearby were applied, to account for possible times non-stationarity.) The False Discovery Rate method was used to correct for the multiple comparisons (one at each 5 ms time bin).



smoothed firing rates via locfit







Step 3: Build the empirical distribution from 3000 shuffles and compare to actual difference; correct using false discovery rate between 50 ms and 250 ms (yellow cursors).

. Estimation of significance of differences between Fig 1 responses.







rates in response to stimuli with various kinds of high-order statistics (HOSs). *a*, Large fractions of V1 neurons have significantly different firing rates for the visually-salient third and fourth-order statistics, but few can discriminate the "wye" and "foot" statistics from any other. The pattern of V1 sensitivity matches psychophysical observations (Victor & Conte, 1991). **b**, The pattern of V2 sensitivity appears similar to that of V1, but the V2 sample size is limited.

Responses of Macaque V1 Neurons to Local Image Statistics

Jonathan D. Victor, Yunguo Yu, Anita M. Schmid, Qin Hu, Ferenc Mechler

The fraction of sensitive cells is smallest in the granular layer and largest in the infragranular layer, indicating that HOS-sensitivity develops within V1.

Fig 7. Responses of two example V1 neurons to the 2x2 image statistics. For the first-order statistic γ , unit 1 increased in firing and unit 2 decreased in firing. Responses to the other image statistics were also present, but less prominent -- for example, unit 2 increased in firing for Acknowledgement positive values of β_{-} and β_{+} . (Note that the response to the random stimulus is repeated in each column to aid comparison.)



Fig 8. The fraction of neurons that were modulated by each of the 10 texture parameters is shown as a function of correlation strength. In accord with human psychophysics (799.06/KK19), neural sensitivity was most common for the first-order statistic γ , next-most-common for the second-order statistics β , and least common for third- and fourth-order

Fig 9. As shown in *a* and *b*, neurons that respond to positive second-order correlations are largely incremental and selective, while neurons that respond to negative second-order correlations are largely decremental and nonselective. *a*, Fraction of neurons that respond to each pair of positive second-order stimuli. **b**, Same as **a** but for pairs of negative parameters. **c** and **d** show the predicted fraction of cells under the hypothesis that responses are independent. This fails to account for the non-selectivity observed for negative correlations: the fractions measured

* Laminar analysis indicates that this sensitivity arises as a result of

* For image statistics within a 2x2 patch, responses of V1 neurons are greatest to γ (first order), next to β (second order), and least to θ

320 ms

natural scenes predict the saliency of synthetic textures. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 18149-18154.

Victor, J.D., and Conte, M.M. (1991) Spatial organization of nonlinear interactions in form perception. Vision Research 31, 1457-488.

Support: NIH Grant EY09314, NIH Grant EY07977